

PUTTING RESEARCH TO WORK FOR MILITARY FAMILIES

Posttraumatic Growth among Gulf War I Veterans: The Predictive Role of Deployment-related Experiences and Background Characteristics

Maguen, S., Vogt, D.S., King, L.A., King, D.W. & Litz, B.T. (2006). Posttraumatic growth among Gulf War I Veterans: The predictive role of deployment-related experiences and background characteristics. *Journal of Loss and Trauma, 11*, 373-388. doi: 10.1080/15325020600672004



COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE & LIFE SCIENCES

61 Veterans who were on the registry of Gulf War I Veterans and who had obtained any type of care at the VA Boston Healthcare System completed a survey. Data was used to assess deployment-related and demographic predictors of posttraumatic growth. Posttraumatic growth (including the two subscales of "relating to others" and "personal strength") was most strongly predicted by postdeployment social support.

Key Findings:

- Overall, demographic, deployment, and postdeployment variables were most predictive of various aspects of posttraumatic growth. Predeployment variables had little influence in the final model.
- The strongest predictor of the "appreciation of life" posttraumatic growth subscale was perceived threat.
- The posttraumatic growth subscales of "relating to others" and "personal strength" were most strongly predicted by
 postdeployment social support.
- The posttraumatic growth subscale of "new possibilities" was best predicted by minority status (ethnic minorities reported more growth).
- Exposure to warfare was not associated with any index of growth.

Implications for Programs:

- Programs could develop educational modules for Service members, Veterans and families about potential positive outcomes or
 posttraumatic growth that could emerge after deployment.
- Programs could develop family-based activities to bolster post-deployment social support for both families and Service members.

Implications for Policies:

- Policies could provide continued funding for programs which enhance postdeployment social support.
- Policies could recommend the inclusion of screening for posttraumatic growth as part of routine assessments for Service members after deployment.

Avenues for Future Research:

- Future studies could explore the relationship between PTSD and posttraumatic growth, particularly in regards to high and low level stressors.
- Additional research could use larger and more representative samples and examine posttraumatic growth among Veterans of other conflicts.

Prepared by the Military REACH Team.

For additional information, please visit <u>reachmilitaryfamilies.umn.edu</u>

Developed in collaboration with the Department of Defense's Office of Family Policy, the National Institute of Food and Agriculture, and the U.S. Department of Agriculture under The University of Minnesota Award No. 2013-48710-21515 and The University of Arizona Award No. 2009-48667-05833.

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

Driven to Discover



Background Information

Methodology:

- This study used a subsample from another study. Veterans were identified as those who were on a registry of Gulf War I Veterans who had obtained any type of care at the VA Boston Healthcare System.
- Demographic and military questions were asked, as well as assessments of predeployment, deployment and postdeployment stressors, perceived threat, deployment and postdeployment social support, and posttraumatic growth.
- Correlations assessed relationships between variables, and regression analyses tested the associations between deployment factors, demographics, and posttraumatic growth.

Participants:

- 61 Gulf War I Veterans participated (57% male).
- 85% Caucasian, 8% African American, 5% Latino, 53% married, 41% had some college education, 21% high school.
- 47% were deployed from Active Duty units.
- No information on military branch was presented.

Limitations:

- The sample was small and primarily Caucasian; these results may not generalize to other samples of Veterans.
- This study was cross-sectional and claims of causation are beyond its scope.
- Personality and other variables that may impact the results were not measured; the variables assessed for only accounted for approximately 25% of the variance.
- There was incomplete demographic and statistical reporting.

Assessing Research that Works

| Research Design and Sample | | | | Quality Rating: | |
|--|--|--|--|--|---------------------|
| | Excellent (★★★) | Appropriate (★★☆) | Limited (★★★★) | Questionable | |
| The design of the study (e.g., research plan, sample, recruitment) used to address the research question was | | | \boxtimes | | |
| Research Methods | | | | Quality Rating: | $\star \star \star$ |
| | Excellent (★★★) | Appropriate (★★★) | Limited (★★★) | Questionable (★★★) | |
| The research methods (e.g., measurement, analysis) used to answer the research question were | | \boxtimes | | | |
| Limitations | | | | Quality Rating: | |
| | Excellent Minor Limitations (★★★) | Appropriate Few Limitations (★★) | Limited Several Limitations (★★★) | Questionable Many/Severe Limitations () | |
| The limitations of this study are | | | \square | | |
| Implications | | • | | Quality Rating: | N/A |
| | Excellent (★★★) | Appropriate (★★★) | Limited (★★★) | Questionable (★★★) | |
| The implications of this research to programs, policies and | | | | | |
| the field, stated by the authors, are | ☑ Not applicable because authors do not discuss implications | | | | |
| Overall Quality Rating | | | | | |