The Center for Research and Outreach

Putting Research to Work for Military Families



Bullying Among Young Adolescents: The Strong, the Weak, and the Troubled

Juvonen, J., Graham, S., & Schuster, M. A. (2003). Bullying among young adolescents: The strong, the weak, and the troubled. *Pediatrics*, 112(6), 1231-1237. doi:10.1542/peds.112.6.1231

SUMMARY: Being a bully and being bullied are both associated with problems (e.g., mental health concerns, violence) among youth. Sixth-grade students who were bullies, victims of bullying, and bully-victims (i.e., were both bullied and victimized) were compared on social, emotional, and academic well-being. Bullies had high psychological and social well-being, while victims had poor well-being across domains and bully-victims were at especially high risk for poor adjustment and future outcomes.

KEY FINDINGS:

- Bullies reported the lowest psychological distress (i.e., depression, anxiety, loneliness), while victims reported the highest levels and bully-victims reported moderately elevated levels.
- Peers rated bullies highest and victims lowest in social status; however, they avoided all students involved in bullying (i.e., bullies, victims, bully-victims), especially bully-victims.
- All students involved in bullying had somewhat elevated teacher-reported conduct problems and school disengagement, but bullies, and especially bully-victims, had the highest levels.
- Boys were much more likely than girls to be bullies (10% vs. 5%), victims (10% vs. 3%), and bully-victims (12% vs. 7%).

IMPLICATIONS FOR MILITARY PROFESSIONALS:

Military professionals could:

- Facilitate support groups for military youth experiencing bullying
- Collaborate with school programs to develop school-wide anti-bullying campaigns to raise awareness about bullying and change student bystander responses to bullying

IMPLICATIONS FOR PROGRAMS:

Programs could:

- Provide training in both emotion management and social skills for military youth being bullied
- Offer workshops for military youth engaging in bullying on anger management and effective communication

IMPLICATIONS FOR POLICIES:

Policies could:

- Encourage education for professionals working with military youth about the risks associated with bullying and victimization
- Recommend anonymous reporting or routine screening for bullying in Department of Defense (DoD) schools to increase victims and bystanders reporting and standing up to bullies

This product is the result of a partnership funded by the Department of Defense between the Office of Military Community and Family Policy and the USDA's National Institute of Food and Agriculture through a grant/cooperative agreement with The University of Minnesota.







Putting Research to Work

for Military Families



METHODS

- Sixth-grade students were recruited through 11 public middle schools in diverse, low-income Los Angeles neighborhoods.
- Students and their teachers completed surveys regarding each students' psychological distress, social and academic well-being, and involvement in bullying.
- Bullies and victims of bullying were identified by peers; psychological, social, and academic well-being were compared between groups of students with different bullying statuses.

PARTICIPANTS

- Participants included 1,985 sixth-grade students (46% male) with an average age of 11.5 years.
- Students identified as Latino (45%), Black (26%), Asian American (11%), White (10%), or another race (8%), and 47-87% of students at each school qualified for free or reduced lunch programs.
- Students were identified by peers as bullies (7%), victims (9%), bully-victims (6%), not involved in bullying (56%), or having borderline involvement in bullying (22%).

LIMITATIONS

- Generalizability is limited since special education, gifted, and non-English students were excluded and could have been bullied specifically for these characteristics.
- Arbitrary cut-off points were used for bullying group classification, but analyses suggest they did not influence the pattern of results, limiting threats to validity.
- Due to the cross-sectional study design, directions of effects cannot be inferred.

AVENUES FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

Future research could:

- Examine how bullying status changes longitudinally across the course of students' schooling
- Explore whether school bullying status influences social, emotional, employment, or higher education outcomes in adulthood
- Investigate how peer perceptions of students' demographics (e.g., socioeconomic status, race/ethnicity) could influence their perceptions or interpretations of peer bullying behavior

ASSESSING RESEARCH THAT WORKS







For more information about the Assessing Research that Works rating scale visit: https://reachmilitaryfamilies.umn.edu/content/assessing-research-that-works