

PUTTING RESEARCH TO WORK FOR MILITARY FAMILIES

Adolescent Subthreshold-Depression and Anxiety: Pyschopathology, Functional Impairment and Increased Suicide Risk

Balazs, J., Miklosi, M., Kereszteny, A., Hoven, C.W., Carli, V., et al. (2013). Adolescent subthreshold-depression and anxiety: Psychopathology, functional impairment and increased suicide risk. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *54*, 670-677. doi:10.1111/jcpp.120166.



12,935 European adolescents participated in a study investigating the relationships between adolescent depression and anxiety and functional impairment and suicidality. Both subthreshold and threshold depression and anxiety were related to functional impairment and suicidality.

Key Findings:

- 11% of participating adolescents were classified as depressed, 29% as subthreshold depressed, 6% as anxious, and 32% as subthreshold anxious.
- Girls were more likely than boys to be depressed, anxious, subthreshold depressed, or subthreshold anxious.
- Adolescents who were depressed, subthreshold depressed, anxious, or subthreshold anxious were more likely to report functional impairment than non-depressed or non-anxious adolescents.
- Anxiety and depressive symptoms significantly increased the likelihood of adolescents experiencing suicidal ideation, with a stronger relationship existing for depression comparatively.

Implications for Programs:

- Programs could offer classes for parents to help them recognize and manage mental health concerns in their children.
- Programs could collaborate with public schools with high numbers of military children to identify military youth who are experiencing emotional difficulties and to intervene appropriately.

Implications for Policies:

- Policies could allocate funding to train family program workers in effective means of supporting families who are managing mental health concerns in their children.
- Policies could recommend that public schools with high numbers of military children be offered professional development on how to most effectively engage and assist these students and their families.

Avenues for Future Research:

- Future research could use a longitudinal design to examine how these relationships change over time.
- Additional studies could examine cross-cultural differences in these relationships.







PUTTING RESEARCH TO WORK FOR MILITARY FAMILIES

Background Information

Methodology:

- Adolescents aged 14-16 years old in 11 European countries at randomly selected schools were recruited to participate; no
 information on consent rate was presented.
- Students completed self-report questionnaires of depression, anxiety, psychopathology, functional impairment, and suicidal ideation.
- Adolescents were divided into three groups based on their depression score: depressed, subthreshold depressed, and non-depressed. They were also categorized into three groups based on their anxiety score: anxious, subthreshold anxious, and non-anxious.
- Analyses of covariance assessed differences in psychopathology, suicidal ideation, and functional impairment among the groups.

Participants:

- 12,935 adolescents participated
- 55% of the youth were female.
- Average age = 14.91 years (SD=0.90 years).
- No other demographic data were presented.

Limitations:

- The participants were European; it is unknown how these findings generalize to American youth.
- All data are cross-sectional, and causal conclusions are not appropriate.
- All data were self-report, rather than the preferred clinical interview.

Assessing Research that Works

Research Design and Sample				Quality Rating:	***
	Excellent (***)	Appropriate (★★★)	Limited (★	Questionable (xxx)	
The design of the study (e.g., research plan, sample, recruitment) used to address the research question was		\boxtimes			
Research Methods				Quality Rating:	$\Rightarrow \Rightarrow \Rightarrow$
	Excellent (***)	Appropriate (★★★)	Limited (★★★★)	Questionable (XXX)	
The research methods (e.g., measurement, analysis) used to answer the research question were		\boxtimes			1
Limitations				Quality Rating:	$\Rightarrow \Rightarrow \Rightarrow$
	Excellent Minor Limitations (***	Appropriate Few Limitations (★★ ×)	Limited Several Limitations (★★★)	Questionable Many/Severe Limitations	
The limitations of this study are		\boxtimes			1
Implications				Quality Rating:	$\rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow$
	Excellent (***)	Appropriate (★★★)	Limited (★★★)	Questionable (****)	
The implications of this research to programs, policies and		\boxtimes			1
the field, stated by the authors, are	\square Not applicable because authors do not discuss implications				
Overall Quality Rating					\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\